Assessment of College Core Outcomes 2011/2012

Career Guidance SAC

The College Core Outcome of Critical Thinking was not assessed last year; therefore the SAC has assessed it this year.

The College Core Outcomes assessed in CG courses this year included critical thinking, communication, and self-reflection. Both direct and indirect assessment methods were used. (Due to miscommunication the SAC assessed self-reflection this year instead of professional competence. Therefore, the SAC will assess professional competence and will further assess self-reflection next year.)

This report addresses the design and results of three different assessments: direct assessment of critical thinking and communication, indirect assessment of critical thinking and communication and indirect assessment of self-reflection. This is followed by instructional and assessment suggestions for improvement.

Assessment of Critical Thinking and Communication

Direct Assessment

Students take CG140A to help them make an informed career decision. The CG140A curriculum includes research assignments that require students to gather information about themselves such as their values, interests, skills and lifestyle preferences. Students are also required to research careers and to gather information including but not limited to career descriptions, job outlook, and education and skill requirements. This information must then be analyzed and synthesized in order to draw conclusions and make informed career decisions. The term paper assignment from this course was chosen for critical thinking and communication assessment because it requires an analysis and interpretation of evidence, an informed decision, and clear communication that explains and defends the career choice.

The SAC developed a rubric that was used to assess the term papers (see appendix). The rubric included three separate components of critical thinking: information gathering, interpretation and implication. The SAC felt that these characteristics of critical thinking best fit both the college core outcome of critical thinking as well and CG curriculum outcomes. The rubric also included a separate section to assess the core outcome of communication. The college core outcome for communication was used to inform this section of the rubric.

A team of four CG SAC members evaluated 61 Career and Life Planning term papers as a group during one work session. The rubric was normed by having all four scorers us the rubric to review sample term papers until they arrived at consensus regarding scoring. This process helped ensure that all papers would be reliably assessed. The 61 papers were then distributed

among the four team members and rated on critical thinking and communication criterion. The sample size of 61 represented 28% of all Spring 2011 CG140A students.

Findings:

Critical Thinking

Disaggregated Data analysis of 61 respondents:

Levels of Critical Thinking achieved:

Accomplished	Competent	Developing
21%	53%	26%

Aggregated Data analysis of 61 respondents:

Respondents Rated as Competent (includes both Accomplished & Competent)

% of Sample	Critical Thinking Category
67%	Information
49%	Interpretation
34%	Implication

A competent score in the information category is defined as, "(the student) gathers sufficient, credible, relevant information; (the student) includes some information from opposing views and distinguishes between information and inferences drawn from it."

A competent score in the interpretation category of critical thinking is defined as, "(the student) follows where evidence and reason lead to obtain justifiable, logical conclusions. (The student) makes valid inferences, but not with the same depth as a 3."

A competent score in the implication category of critical thinking is defined as, "(the student) identifies significant implications consequences and distinguishes probable from improbable implications, but not with the same insight and precision as a 3."

The SAC defined students who rated as either competent or accomplished as meeting the college core outcome of critical thinking which totals 45 respondents or 74% of the total population.

Communication

In order for students to write the term paper, they must be able to develop and organize their personal profile and career research using details, examples and relevant data. The SAC saw these communication skills evidenced by the term paper. The process of gathering the personal and career information, organizing and synthesizing that information requires that students be able to communicate their conclusions in writing.

The communication rubric was created by including criteria that best fit the college core outcome for communication specific to writing, as well as the term paper assignment requirements of CG140A.

Disaggregated Data analysis of 61 respondents: Levels of Communication achieved:

Accomplished	Competent	Developing
21%	46%	33%

Competent communication is defined as, "(the student) includes evident main idea. (The student) includes a mix of appropriate and inappropriate supporting details. (The paper) suits purpose and audience. (The student) uses some details, examples data and metaphors."

The SAC defined students who rated as either competent or accomplished as meeting the college core outcome of communication. A total of 67% of the students met the college core outcome of communication.

The assessment results from the term papers are encouraging. The evidence suggests that students are meeting "competent," standards for information gathering and interpretation portion of critical thinking. The results suggest that roughly 3/4 of CG140A students are able to gather and accurately interpret career research and personal inventories.

Student achievement could improve in the implication category of critical thinking. Based on the data, it was unclear whether students were unable to make connections and implications because the assignment did not directly ask them to employ that skill, or because many of them are "beginning" students. Beginning students may not have learned or practiced their reading and writing skills to the point that they are able to convey their message clearly and concisely. It is also possible that students may not have a grasp of how to use evidence to make meaningful implications or conclusions.

Indirect Assessment

One indirect method used was a pre/post self-assessment that assessed critical thinking and communication outcomes from CG111, College Learning and Study Skills. The sample size from the CG111 was 61. The second indirect method used was a pre/post self-assessment that assessed professional competence from CG100A/B, College Survival. The sample size from CG100 was 101. Several classes from both CG111 and CG100A/B courses were used to assess the core outcomes.

CG111 Indirect Assessment

A 30 question survey using a Likert scale (see Appendix) asked students to respond to how often they employed various study skill behaviors that related to critical thinking and communication. The survey questions that most directly related to the CG curriculum were selected from multiple academic surveys of critical thinking, problem solving, and communication skills.

Students responded to the survey during the ninth week of the term. They were asked to think back, and assess their behaviors before the class began. They were then asked to think of their current behavior, and assess themselves again. Students marked beginning of the term behaviors with an "X" and end of the term behaviors with an "O". The Likert scale ranged from 1, indicating a frequency of "never" to 5, indicating a frequency of "always" employing those behaviors. Our sample size was 61 which represented 33% of all Spring 2011 CG111A students.

Of the 30 questions in the survey, 15 were critical thinking questions, and 13 were communication questions. Two questions (#11 and #15) were created to assess both the core outcome of critical thinking and communication, however upon further analysis, there was no evidence that answers to those questions could be applied to both outcomes. Therefore, those two questions were excluded in this analysis.

Descriptive statistics for each of the questions are as follows:

C	ritical Thinkin	g Outcome	
Question #	Pre	Post	Gain
1	2.35	3.57	1.22
4	2.45	3.58	1.13
5	3.35	4.52	1.17
7	2.63	4.09	1.46
10	2.14	3.59	1.45
12	3.14	4.17	1.03
13	2.92	4.02	1.10
14	3.27	4.03	.76
16	3.05	4.05	1.00
17	3.66	4.28	.62
18	3.06	4.14	1.08
19	2.16	3.25	1.09
20	2.57	3.87	1.30
21	2.77	3.89	1.12
30	3.34	4.45	1.11
C	Communicatio	n Outcome	
Question #	Pre	Post	Gain
2	2.89	3.42	.55
3	2.28	3.42	1.14
6	3.41	4.32	.91
8	3.39	4.17	.78
9	3.20	3.97	.77
22	2.84	3.84	1.00
23	2.87	3.90	1.03
24	3.23	4.38	1.15
25	2.37	3.26	.89
26	2.77	3.81	1.04
27	3.85	4.47	.62
28	2.97	3.91	.94
29	3.79	4.45	.66

Findings:

In order to understand our assessments results it is important to first take a look at the student population that takes CG courses. CG140 & CG111 students have either taken Writing and Reading 90, or have tested into Writing 115. This means that many students are just learning their basic reading and writing skills and are beginning to practice these skills in their academic coursework. CG100B has no writing and reading prerequisite requirements, and is taken by students with a range of reading and writing skills.

Many students taking CG courses are new to the college environment and are entering classes without previous knowledge of study skills necessary for academic success. The SAC evaluated the surveys completed by students enrolled in CG111 and found that overall, students rated an increase in use of study skills across all questions and categories after completion of the course.

The students' perception of their skills during week 1 of the term averaged at ratings of 2 (rarely) and 3 (sometimes). Student perceptions of their skills during week 9 of the term averaged at ratings of 3 (sometimes) and 4 (often).

It should be noted that the questions which students rated showing the lowest amount of change pre/post in both critical thinking and communication skills were also skills that students rated the highest in their pre-test. This indicates that students were entering the course with a rating of, "sometimes" employing these skills (e.g., Communication Question # 27 had a mean pre score of 3.85; Critical Thinking Question #17 had a mean pre score of 3.66).

From the survey the SAC found that students' perception of critical thinking and communication behaviors increased from the first week to the ninth week of the term. The average gains between pre and post assessments were 1.11 points for critical thinking and .9 points for communication.

The following questions from the survey demonstrate the most and least gain in critical thinking and communication skills. The increase in a pre/post score reflects the students' perception that they either acquired a specific skill or used that skill more effectively and frequently due to the completion of CG111.

Communication

The communication question with the highest amount change (1.28) pre/post was question #2: "I listen for cues during class lectures that indicate when a certain point is of high importance and I mark the importance in my notes."

The communication question with the second highest change (1.14) pre/post was question #24: "I feel comfortable asking the instructor a question about something I don't understand."

The communication question with the least amount of change (.61) pre/post was question #27: "When working in groups, I make sure other people have a chance to talk."

Critical Thinking

The critical thinking question with the highest amount of change (1.47) pre/post was question #7: "I know how to use library resources including scholarly work published on-line."

The critical thinking question with the second highest amount of change (1.45) pre/post was Question #10: "I review my textbooks at the beginning of the semester to get a "big picture," understanding of how the texts are organized."

The critical thinking question with the least amount of change (.76) pre/post was question #14: "I solve a problem by focusing in its main point." It should be noted, that this question contained a typing error (in rather than on) which could be part of the reason students rated it lowest to begin with, and the reason it had the lowest increase of frequency using the skill.

The critical thinking question with the second least amount of change (.91) pre/post was question #17: "I learn with the intention of remembering." While it had the least amount of gain, it was rated the highest in the pre-test with an original mean score of 3.37(sometimes).

The lowest scores overall were in two separate areas. Synthesizing and organizing information e.g., Question 19: "I generate study questions in order to test my understanding," and oral communication skills e.g., Question 25: "I feel comfortable giving a presentation during class on a topic related to the course."

Student achievement could be improved for critical thinking in the areas of organizing and synthesizing information and problem solving. Student achievement could be improved for communication in the area of oral communication skills.

Assessment of Self Reflection

Indirect pre/post assessment

To assess the college core outcome of self-refection, the SAC chose to use a self-assessment from the On Course textbook (see appendix). On Course is used by the majority of the instructors for the CG100, College Survival and Success courses. The assessment is comprised of 64 statements, which require true/false responses on a scale of 0 "totally false" to 10 "totally true." Eight broad areas of self-reflection were assessed that include:

1. Accepting personal responsibility; seeing themselves as the primary cause of their outcomes and experiences.

- 2. Discover self-motivation; finding purpose in their lives by discovering personally meaningful goals and dreams.
- 3. Mastering self-management; consistently planning and taking purposeful actions in pursuit of their goals and dreams.
- 4. Employ interdependence; building mutually supportive relationships that help them achieve their goals and dreams (while helping others do the same).
- 5. Gain self-awareness; consciously employing behaviors, beliefs, and attitudes that keep them on course.
- 6. Adopt lifelong learning; finding valuable lessons and wisdom in nearly every experience they have.
- 7. Develop emotional intelligence; effectively managing their emotions in support of their goals and dreams.
- 8. Believe in themselves; seeing themselves as capable, lovable, and unconditionally worthy human beings.

The assessments were given pre/post to CG100 students during Spring, 2011 term. The SAC received a total of 101 pre/responses and 81 post/responses which represented 25.77% of the total number of CG100 students that term. The majority of the assessments were returned without student names so our data is an average of the scores for each of the eight broad self-reflection areas. This report also includes correlated data from 30 (8%) students whose names were included and whose pre and post data could be matched directly.

Sample Size: 101

	1	2	2		3	4	1	Į,	5	(õ	-	7	8	3
Pre	Post	Pre	Post												
60.99	64.05	64.08	66.68	51.45	54.36	46.82	47.52	54.42	56.90	52.72	56.38	51.43	55.35	56.22	60.69
Diff	3.06	Diff	2.60	Diff	2.91	Diff	.70	Diff	2.48	Diff	3.66	Diff	3.92	Diff -	4.47

Correlated Sample Size: 30

-	1	2	2	3		4	1		5	•	5	7	7	8	3
Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
60.23	67.03	61.40	70.13	47.33	56.0	44.90	47.87	51.67	59.03	52.4	58.7	51.43	57.33	53.87	62.47
Diff	6.80	Diff	8.73	Diff 8	8.67	Diff	2.97	Diff	7.36	Diff	6.30	Diff	5.90	Diff	8.60

Score 1: Accepting Personal Responsibility Score 5: Gaining Self-Awareness

Score 2: Discovering Self-Motivation Score 6: Adopting Lifelong Learning

Score 3: Mastering Self-Management Score 7: Developing Emotional Intelligence

Score 4: Employing Interdependence Score 8: Believing in Myself

Findings:

Overall, each self-reflection category score increased from pre-assessment to post-assessment. In our larger sample size, the category with the most gain was "Believing in Myself," with an average increase of 4.47. In the smaller correlated sample, there were three categories with similar large gains, "Discovering Self-Motivation," (8.73) "Mastering Self-Management," (8.67) and "Believing in Myself" (8.60). The highest score both pre and post from both samples was in the category of, "Discovering Self-Motivation." N=101; 66.68. N=30; 70.13.

The definition of the college core outcome for self-reflection includes, "...being accountable for actions and their impact on others, to appraise own skills and abilities, set well defined goals, monitor progress, and motivate self..." which align closely with the 8 categories used in the On Course self-assessment. The positive pre/post change that occurred across all categories from both sample sizes reflects the students' perception of an increase in self-reflection and awareness that occurred as a result of their learning during the CG 100 course. This was especially impressive because students did not have access to their pre-answer data when they retook the assessment at the end of the class.

The large differences in pre/post scores for the correlated data were much higher than for the larger sample size. This should be taken into consideration for future assessments when assessing self-reflection using pre/post measurements.

Results from the assessments are encouraging in that there was a positive pre/post gain across categories. All eight categories not only align with the college core outcome of self-reflection but also describe behaviors and attitudes that promote college success which is the primary outcome and goals of the CG100 course. The students' perception of their improvement and/or learning in each of these categories is especially promising because students' perceptions can translate to an increase in their motivation to become academically successful.

Student achievement could be improved in the areas of "Employing Interdependence," and "Mastering Self-Management." Both of those categories had the lowest post scores at 47.52 and 54.36 respectively. Results from the assessment also indicate that the lowest gain pre/post was for "Employing Interdependence," with a .70 gain.

Suggested changes for curriculum and instruction

CG 140A. Emphasize decision making skills as they relate to a career decision. This area
was lacking as the CG140A term papers were assessed for critical thinking. Students
gathered research data but often were not competent in drawing conclusions that
matched that data.

- CG140A. Require career research from several sources. This requirement relates to the critical thinking skill of organizing and synthesizing information. The SAC found the student research from the term papers were often from a single source.
- CG140A. Require that students explore the implications (pro/con) of their career choices. The SAC found the best term papers described the advantages and disadvantages of the students decision which the SAC believe develops their critical thinking and leads to the most satisfying choices.
- CG111: Students expressed a low frequency of using effective group work skills. College learning and study skill curriculum should be changed to further emphasize working in groups.
- CG100: The lowest gain was in the area of employing interdependence, specifically
 asking others for help. While this topic is currently built into the course, it is useful to
 know that students need more help with this skill. It is important that students feel
 confident accessing resources. Therefore, changes to the curriculum could include more
 emphasis in this area.
- CG100. One of the lowest post scores was in the category of, "Mastering Self-Management." While this area is a large part of the CG curriculum, these results indicate a potential need for more emphasis on mastering self-management.
- The SAC may want to reevaluate the reading and writing pre-requisites for CG courses.

Suggested changes for assessment procedures

- Next time the SAC assesses critical thinking it should develop a definition of critical thinking that more closely aligns with CG curriculum.
- Future assessments of critical thinking must more clearly demonstrate that changes in student skills are due to CG instruction.
- Once the definition is clear, the SAC can determine how critical thinking can be best assessed. The instrument used may not be the written term papers.
- Instruments used for direct assessment must be consistent for entire population. The term papers used for current assessment were slightly different assignments.
- On Course assessment for personal reflection should be replicated next year using correlated data. The correlated sample size of 30 had a greater increase in skill than the larger sample size of 101.
- Direct research should be employed to assess personal reflection in order to determine whether results are similar to the positive results that were demonstrated through the indirect research.

- During Summer 2011 a pilot is being conducted in one section of CG111A. This pilot has been designed to directly assess communication skills and to demonstrate that improvement in those skills is due to the CG course.
- Reading and writing placement scores should be added to pre-test versions of direct assessment criteria to help determine whether there are different results based on student reading and writing ability.

The suggested changes for assessment and curriculum were written by the subcommittee that compiled this report. These suggestions must be brought forward to the larger CG SAC.

Appendix

Directions: Please rate the following statements on a scale of 1-5, where 1 means, "I never do this," and 5 means, "I always do this."

1)	I develop and write down shothose goals.	ort term and long-term ac	cademic goals and then	work towards
1 Never	2 Rarely	3 Sometimes	4 Often	5 Always
2)	I listen for cues during class I I mark the importance in my		n a certain point is of h	igh importance and
1 Never	2 Rarely	3 Sometimes	4 Often	5 Always
3)	I read over my notes after a missed.	ecture and fill in missing	words, phrases and fac	ts that I may have
1 Never	2 Rarely	3 Sometimes	4 Often	5 Always
4)	I am able to effectively predi	ct what items will be on a	n exam and study acco	rdingly.
1 Never	2 Rarely	3 Sometimes	4 Often	5 Always
5)	I research a topic before I be	gin writing a paper so tha	t I know what I want to	say in the paper.
1 Never	2 Rarely	3 Sometimes	4 Often	5 Always
6)	I support my arguments with	solid evidence obtained	from my own research.	

3

Sometimes

2 Rarely

1

Never

5 Always

Often

7) I knov	v how to use library re	esources including scholarl	y work published on-lir	ie.
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
8) I regu	larly research the me	aning of words (ie; I use a c	dictionary).	
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
9) I am c	onfident of my word	choices in my writing.		
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
•	ew my textbooks at th he texts are organized	e beginning of the semested.	er to get a "big picture,"	" understanding of
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
11) I relat familia		nformation or ideas to simi	ilar concepts with whicl	h I am already
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
12) I main	itain a critical attitude	e during my study, thinking	before accepting or rej	iecting.
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
13) I try to	o organize facts in a sy	ystematic way.		
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always

1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
15) When readir	ng I can distinguish re	adily between important an	d unimportant points.	
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
16) I relate mate	rial learned in one co	ourse to material learned in o	other courses.	
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
17) I learn with t	he intention of reme	mbering.		
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
18) I work proble	ems until I understand	d them, not just until I get th	ne right answer for the	homework
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
19) I generate st	udy questions in orde	er to test my understanding.		
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
20) I develop sys	tems for rememberin	ng important information.		
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always

14) I solve a problem by focusing in it's main point.

1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
22) I effectively o	organize my thoughts	on paper in a way that mak	es sense to my readers.	
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
23) I feel comfort	table responding to a	question in class.		
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
24) I feel comfort	table asking the instru	uctor a question about some	ething I don't understan	ıd.
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
25) I feel comfort	table giving a present	ation during class on a topic	related to the course.	
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
26) I feel comfort	table sharing my insig	ht and observations regardi	ng a reading or lecture.	
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
27) When workin	ng in groups, I make si	ure other people have a cha	nce to talk.	
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always

21) When I am taking notes I think about how to use them later.

28) While	listening to a class led	cture, I look for meaning an	d ask questions.	
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
29) When	working in groups, I g	give my full attention to oth	ners when they talk to I	me.
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
30) When answe		time to understand the e	xam questions before	starting to
1	2	3	4	5
Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always

Chapter 1 Getting On Course to Your Success

Self-Assessment

Read the following statements and score each one according to how true or false you believe it is about you. To get an accurate picture of yourself, consider what IS true about you (not what you want to be true). Remember, there are no right or wrong answers. Assign each statement a number from 0 to 10, as follows:

Totally false 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Totally true

1	I control how successful I will be.
2	I'm not sure why I'm in college.
3	I spend most of my time doing important things.
4	When I encounter a challenging problem, I try to solve it by myself.
5	When I get off course from my goals and dreams, I realize it right away.
6	I'm not sure how I learn best.
7	Whether I'm happy or not depends mostly on me.
8	I'll truly accept myself only after I eliminate my faults and weaknesses.
9	Forces out of my control (such as poor teaching) are the cause of low grades I receive in school.
10	I place great value on getting my college degree.
11	I don't need to write things down because I can remember what I need to do.
12	I have a network of people in my life that I can count on for help.
13	If I have habits that hinder my success, I'm not sure what they are.
14	When I don't like the way an instructor teaches, I know how to learn the subject anyway.
15	When I get very angry, sad, or afraid, I do or say things that create a problem for me.
16	When I think about performing an upcoming challenge (such as taking a test), I usually see myself doing well.
17.	When I have a problem, I take positive actions to find a solution.
18.	I don't know how to set effective short-term and long-term goals.
	l am organized.
20.	
21	and the second s
22.	
23	
<u></u>	fun, I do the school assignment.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29.	I'm stuck with any habits of mine that hinder my success.
	(continued

30	When I face a disappointment (such as failing a test), I ask myself, "What lesson can I learn here?"
21	
37	I often feel bored, anxious, or depressed. I feel just as worthwhile as any other person.
32	Forces outside of mo (such as held or other people) as itself as a such as held or other people.
34	Forces outside of me (such as luck or other people) control how successful I will be.
35	College is an important step on the way to accomplishing my goals and dreams.
36	I spend most of my time doing unimportant things.
	When I encounter a challenging problem, I ask for help.
38	I can be off course from my goals and dreams for quite a while without realizing it. I know how I learn best.
40	My happiness depends mostly on what's happened to me lately.
41.	I accept myself just as I am, even with my faults and weaknesses.
42.	J
43	If I lose my motivation in college, I don't know how I'll get it back.
43	I have a written self-management system that helps me get important things done on time.
44	I know very few people whom I can count on for help.
45	I'm aware of the habits I have that hinder my success.
46	If I don't like the way an instructor teaches, I'll probably do poorly in the course.
47	When I'm very angry, sad, or afraid, I know how to manage my emotions so I don't
	do anything I'll regret later.
48.	When I think about performing an upcoming challenge (such as taking a test), I usu-
	ally see myself doing poorly.
49	When I have a problem, I complain, blame others, or make excuses.
50	I know how to set effective short-term and long-term goals.
51.	I am disorganized.
	When I take a difficult course in school, I find a study partner or join a study group.
53	I'm unaware of beliefs I have that hinder my success.
54	I know how to think critically and analytically about complex topics.
55	I often feel happy and fully alive.
56	I keep promises that I make to myself or to others.
57	When I have an important choice to make, I use a decision-making process that
	analyzes possible options and their likely outcomes.
58	I don't expect to do well in my college classes.
59	I am a self-disciplined person.
60	I get distracted easily when other people are talking.
61	I know how to change habits of mine that hinder my success.
62	When I face a disappointment (such as failing a test), I feel pretty helpless.
63	When choosing between doing an important school assignment or something really
	fun, I usually do something fun.
64	I feel less worthy than other people.
Transfer you	. · ·
columns A a	or scores to the scoring sheets on the next page. For each of the eight areas, total your scores in and B. Then total your final scores as shown in the sample on the next page.

SELF-ASSESSMENT SCORING SHEET

Sample	SCORE #1: Accepting SCORE #2: Discover Personal Responsibility Self-Motivation	
A B 29. 3 14. 5 35. 3 21. 6 50. 6 73. 9 56. 2 $ 28 + 40 - 14 = 54 $	A B 1 9 17 25 41 33 57 49 + 40 =	A B 10 2 26 18 34 42 50 58 + 40 =
SCORE #3: Mastering Self-Management	SCORE #4: Employing SCORE #5: Gaining Interdependence Self-Awareness	
A B 3 11 19 27 43 35 59 51 + 40 =	A B 12 4 28 20 36 44 52 60 + 40 =	A B 5 13 21 29 45 37 61 53 + 40 - =
SCORE #6: Adopting Lifelong Learning	SCORE #7: Developing Emotional Intelligence	SCORE #8: Believing in Myself
A B 14 6 30 22 38 46 54 62 + 40 =	A B 7 15 23 31 47 39 55 63 + 40 =	A B 16 8 32 24 40 48 56 64 + 40 =

CHOICES OF SUCCESSFUL STUDENTS

Successful Students	Struggling Students	
accept personal responsibility, seeing themselves as the primary cause of their outcomes and experiences.	see themselves as victims, believing that what happens to them is determined primarily by external forces such as fate, luck, and powerful others.	
discover self-motivation, finding purpose in their lives by discovering personally meaningful goals and dreams.	have difficulty sustaining motivation, often feeling depressed, frustrated, and/or resentful about a lack of direction in their lives.	
master self-management, consistently planning and taking purposeful actions in pursuit of their goals and dreams.	seldom identify specific actions needed to accomplish a desired outcome, and when they do, they tend to procrastinate.	
employ interdependence, building mutually supportive relation- ships that help them achieve their goals and dreams (while help- ing others do the same).	are solitary, seldom requesting, even rejecting, offers of assistance from those who could help.	
gain self-awareness, consciously employing behaviors, beliefs, and attitudes that keep them on course.	make important choices unconsciously, being directed by self- sabotaging habits and outdated life scripts.	
adopt lifelong learning, finding valuable lessons and wisdom in nearly every experience they have.	resist learning new ideas and skills, viewing learning as fearful or boring rather than as mental play.	
develop emotional intelligence, effectively managing their emotions in support of their goals and dreams.	live at the mercy of strong emotions such as anger, depression, anxiety, or a need for instant gratification.	
believe in themselves, seeing themselves as capable, lovable, and unconditionally worthy human beings.	doubt their competence and personal value, feeling inadequate to create their desired outcomes and experiences.	



INTERPRETING YOUR SCORES

A score of ...

0-39 Indicates an area where your choices will seldom keep you on course.
 40-63 Indicates an area where your choices will sometimes keep you on course.
 64-80 Indicates an area where your choices will usually keep you on course.

Critical Thinking Rubric

	2 Assamulished	2 Compatent	1 Daveloning
	3 - Accomplished If applicable, consistently does all or almost all of the	2 - Competent If applicable, consistently does most or	1- Developing If applicable, consistently does most or many
	following	many of the following	of the following
Information	Gathers sufficient, credible, relevant information:	Gathers sufficient, credible, and relevant	Gathers some credible information, but not
	observations, statements, logic, data, facts, questions,	information	enough; some information may be irrelevant
	graphs, themes, assertions, descriptions, etc.	Includes some information from	Omits significant information, including
	Includes information that opposes as well as	opposing views	some strong counter-arguments
	supports the argued position	Distinguishes between information and	Sometimes confuses information and the
	Distinguishes between information and inferences	inferences drawn from it	inferences drawn from it
	drawn from that information		
Interpretations,	Follows where evidence and reason lead in order to	Follows where evidence and reason lead	Does follow some evidence to conclusions,
Inferences	obtain defensible, thoughtful, logical conclusions or	to obtain justifiable, logical conclusions	but inferences are more often than not
	solutions	Makes valid inferences, but not with the	unclear, illogical, inconsistent, and/or
	Makes deep rather than superficial inferences	same depth and as a "3"	superficial
	Makes inferences that are consistent with one	•	'
	another		
Implications,	Identifies the most significant implications and	Identifies significant implications and	Has trouble identifying significant
Consequences	consequences of the reasoning (whether positive	consequences and distinguishes probable	implications and consequences; identifies
	and/or negative)	from improbable implications, but not	improbable implications
	Distinguishes probable from improbable implications	with the same insight and precision as a	·
		"3"	

Definition: Analytical / critical thinking skills include the ability to identify a concept or problem, to dissect or isolate its components, to organize information for decision making, to establish criteria for evaluation, and to draw appropriate conclusions.

- 3 = Thinking is exemplary, skilled, marked by excellence in clarity, accuracy, precision, relevance, depth, breadth, logicality, and fairness
- 2 = Thinking is competent, effective, accurate and clear, but lacks the exemplary depth, precision, and insight of a 3
- 1 = Thinking is inconsistent, ineffective; shows a lack of consistent competence: is often unclear, imprecise, inaccurate, and superficial

Adapted from Original document from: Foundation for Critical Thinking, www.criticalthinking.org
Definition from: University of Arkansas, (January, 2006). University of Arkansas, Fort Smith General Education Rubrics Analytical Skills. In University of Arkansas, Fort Smith General Education Rubrics Analytical Skills. Retrieved March, 22, 2011, from http://www.uafortsmith.edu/Learning/AnalyticalSkills.

Communication Rubric

Communication Skills	Develops a clear main idea.	Includes evident main idea	Has main idea that is too
	Includes appropriate	Includes mix of appropriate	broad or too narrow
	supporting details	and inappropriate supporting	Lacks supporting details
	Suits purpose and audience.	details	Is not adapted to suit
	Uses many details, examples,	Suits purpose	purpose
	data and metaphors	Uses some details, examples	Lacks organization and
		and metaphors	development

http://www.pearsoned.ca/school/careers/notes.html; Accessed 4/6/11. Unit 1 BLM. Foundation for Critical Thinking, www.criticalthinking.org